Oxford Technology Showcase 2016 Big Healthcare Challenges in chronic disease

Modelling diabetes Professor Alastair Gray Health Economics Research Centre University of Oxford





Research Centre

Oxford Biomedical National Institute for Health Research

NHS



Chronic diseases.....

- are <u>long-term</u>
 - Affect quality of life, health care use, mortality over remaining lifetime
- are <u>complex</u>
 - Multiple risk factors
 - Multiple complications
 - Issues of competing risk
- require extended & combined treatments
 - Poor long-term evidence on disease history, treatment combinations



Hence, interest in predictive models

- Use available data to construct a disease model that...
 - predicts outcomes over a population's or patient's lifetime
 - helps generalise between studies, populations & interventions
- Such models are simplifications or approximation of the data
 - do not reflect <u>all</u> of reality

"All models are wrong, but some are useful."

George E.P. Box



Oxford Technology Showcase 2016

A breast cancer example:



The United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS)

- A large multi-centre long term trial
 - 5,102 patients in 23 clinical centres across UK
- Compared:
 - Intensive glucose control vs conventional
 - Tight blood pressure control vs conventional
- Showed conclusively that improving blood glucose and/or blood pressure could reduce complications



The UKPDS Outcomes Model

- Uses UKPDS patient data to develop a comprehensive health outcomes model for people with type 2 diabetes
- Predicts risk of major diabetes-related complications
 - Stroke, MI, heart failure, amputation, renal failure, ischaemic heart disease (IHD) and blindness
 - Capture time varying risk factors such as HbA1c and history of previous complications
- Estimates lifetime health outcomes in terms of
 - event rates
 - life expectancy
 - quality of life
 - complication costs



UKPDS OM (V.1) model equations



Example of model process (I)

Patient at Year 1: White male; 65 years of age (diabetes diagnosed at 60); BMI of 33; HbA1c of 7.6%; Total/HDL of 5.6%; BP 143mmHg; Smoker; No atrial fibrillation and no PVD at diagnosis; No history of diabetes-related events.

Patient risk factors are updated using the risk equations:

HbA1c	7.8%	
Blood pressure	145	
Total:HDL	5.6%	
Smoking	Yes	
History of diabetes-related events:		
CHF		



Commence model cycle 1

Random order of event equations:CHFP=0.010 > RD (0.005)CHF fatalityP=0.090 < RD (0.807)Renal failureP=0.001 < RD (0.240)MIP=0.157 < RD (0.450)IHDP=0.003 < RD (0.030)StrokeP=0.056 < RD (0.890)AmputationP=0.005 < RD (0.010)BlindnessP=0.008 < RD (0.657)Other mortalityP=0.011 < RD (0.784)

Example of model process (II)

Patient at Year 2: White male; 66 years of age (diabetes diagnosed at 60); BMI of 33; HbA1c of 9.9%; Total/HDL of 5.5%; BP 164mmHg; Smoker; No atrial fibrillation and no PVD at diagnosis; CHF developed in Year 1.



Internal validation (Death):



Temporal validation:





Oxford Technology Showcase 2016

External validation:

 4-year coronary event rates reported by CARDS* & estimated by several models

	Control	Intervention
CARDS - ACTUAL	5.5	3.6
PREDICTED:		
CDC/RTI	6.4	4.3
EAGLE	3.9	-
CARDIFF	6.7	4.5
SHEFFIELD	7.8	5.7
UKPDS OUTCOMES MODEL	5.3	3.6
UKPDS RISK ENGINE	8.0	5.2
CORE	6.4	4.5
ARCHIMEDES	5.4	3.4

Mount Hood 4 Modeling Group. Computer modeling of diabetes mellitus and its complications: a report on the fourth mount hood challenge meeting. *Diabetes Care* 2007; *30* :1638-1646.

Using the model: Extrapolation



Oxford Technology Showcase 2016



<8 YEARS
8-10 YEARS
11-13 YEARS
14-16 YEARS
17-19 YEARS
20-22 YEARS
>22 YEARS



<8 YEARS
8-10 YEARS
11-13 YEARS
14-16 YEARS
17-19 YEARS
20-22 YEARS
>22 YEARS



<8 YEARS 8-10 YEARS 11-13 YEARS 14-16 YEARS 17-19 YEARS 20-22 YEARS >22 YEARS Patient: a 60 year old hypertensive smoker



<8 YEARS</p>
8-10 YEARS
11-13 YEARS
14-16 YEARS
17-19 YEARS
20-22 YEARS
>22 YEARS

Patient: a 60 year old hypertensive smoker : reduce HBA1c from 8% to 6% = + 0.7 year



<8 YEARS 8-10 YEARS 11-13 YEARS 14-16 YEARS 17-19 YEARS 20-22 YEARS >22 YEARS Patient: a 60 year old hypertensive smoker

- : reduce HBA1c from 8% to 6%
- = + 0.7 year
- : reduce Total/HDL cholesterol 7 to 4 = +1.9 years



<8 YEARS **8-10 YEARS 11-13 YEARS 14-16 YEARS 17-19 YEARS 20-22 YEARS** >22 YEARS

Patient: a 60 year old hypertensive smoker

- = + 0.7 year : reduce HBA1c from 8% to 6%
- : reduce Total/HDL cholesterol 7 to 4
- : reduce SBP 180 to 120

- = + 1.9 years
 - = +2.0 years



<8 YEARS **8-10 YEARS 11-13 YEARS 14-16 YEARS 17-19 YEARS 20-22 YEARS** >22 YEARS

Patient: a 60 year old hypertensive smoker

- : reduce HBA1c from 8% to 6%
- : reduce Total/HDL cholesterol 7 to 4
- : reduce SBP 180 to 120
- : stop smoking

= + 1.9 years

= + 0.7 year

- = +2.0 years
- = +3.9 years



<8 YEARS **8-10 YEARS 11-13 YEARS 14-16 YEARS 17-19 YEARS 20-22 YEARS** >22 YEARS

Patient: a 60 year old hypertensive smoker

- : reduce HBA1c from 8% to 6%
- : reduce Total/HDL cholesterol 7 to 4
- : reduce SBP 180 to 120
- : stop smoking
- : do all above

= + 1.9 years

= + 0.7 year

- = +2.0 years
- = +3.9 years
- = +7.4 years

Version 2 now released, using added follow-up data



Licensing the UKPDS Outcomes Model

- All model equations published & freely available
- All quality of life/cost data published & freely available
- An approved software version of the model has been developed and commercialized
 - Built in C++ with an Excel interface
 - Available through Oxford University Innovation Limited
- Free to academic, charitable, public sector users
- Negotiated fee for commercial use



Licensing the UKPDS Outcomes Model

	Non-	Commercial
	commercial	
Version 1.0/1.1 Aug 2005 - June 2007	36	2
Version 1.2 June 2007 - May 2009	21	13
Version 1.2.1 May 2009 - Jan 2011	26	8
Version 1.3 Jan 2011 - May 2015	97	6
Version 2.0 May 2015 - now	37	2

Commercial revenue to Oxford Innovation: £665k

Oxford Technology Showcase 2016

Licensing the UKPDS Outcomes Model

UK, Denmark, Germany, France, Netherlands, Spain, Belgium, Italy, Ireland, Sweden, Czech Republic, Poland, Austria

Canada, Australia, USA, New Zealand, Israel

Korea, Singapore, Japan, Hong Kong, South Africa, China, Malaysia, Chile, Mexico Merck GSK Eli Lilly Amylin Novo Nordisk Pfizer Schering Plough I3 Innovus UBC Boehringer Ingelheim

NICE Veterans Administration Canadian Agency for Drugs & Technologies in Health (CADTH)



Conclusions

- UKPDS OM is a good example of academic industry partnership
 - Maintains highest academic standards/openness
 - Uses revenue stream for further development
- Whether models are applicable for any given purpose must of course be investigated. This also means that a model is never accepted finally, only on trial."



